

A discrete extension of $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ(2)$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ and the modular form of the Barth-Nieto quintic

M. Friedland

Hannover, 13th November 2002

Abstract

In this note we construct a maximal discrete extension of $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ(2)$, the paramodular group with a full level-2 structure. The corresponding Siegel variety parametrizes (birationally) the space of Kummer surfaces associated to $(1, p)$ -polarized abelian surfaces with a level-2 structure. In the case $p = 3$ this is related to the Barth-Nieto quintic and in this case we also determine the space of cusp forms of weight 3.

1 Introduction

Let $p \geq 3$ prime. The *paramodular group* $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ$ is defined as the subgroup

$$\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Q}); \ g \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} \\ p\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & p^{-1}\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

of $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Q})$. This group acts on the Siegel upper halfspace

$$\mathbb{H}_2 := \{ \tau \in \mathrm{Mat}(2, \mathbb{C}); \ \tau = {}^t\tau, \ \mathrm{Im} \tau > 0 \}$$

by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} : \begin{cases} \mathbb{H}_2 & \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2 \\ \tau & \mapsto (A\tau + B)(C\tau + D)^{-1} \end{cases}$$

(where A, B, C, D are 2×2 -blocks). With this action, $\mathcal{A}_{1,p}^\circ := \Gamma_{1,p}^\circ \backslash \mathbb{H}_2$ is the moduli space of $(1, p)$ -polarized abelian surfaces. Likewise, one obtains the moduli space of $(1, p)$ -polarized abelian surfaces with level 2 structure $\mathcal{A}_{1,p}^\circ(2)$ by dividing $\mathbb{H}_2$ by the action of

$$\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ(2) = \left\{ g \in \Gamma_{1,p}^\circ; \ g - \mathbf{1}_4 \in \begin{pmatrix} 2\mathbb{Z} & 2\mathbb{Z} & 2\mathbb{Z} & 2p\mathbb{Z} \\ 2p\mathbb{Z} & 2\mathbb{Z} & 2p\mathbb{Z} & 2p\mathbb{Z} \\ 2\mathbb{Z} & 2\mathbb{Z} & 2\mathbb{Z} & 2p\mathbb{Z} \\ 2\mathbb{Z} & 2p^{-1}\mathbb{Z} & 2\mathbb{Z} & 2\mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

The group $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ$ is conjugate via $R_p := \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, p)$ to the symplectic group

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ = \text{Sp}(\Lambda_p, \mathbb{Z}) := \{g \in \text{GL}(4, \mathbb{Z}) ; g\Lambda_p {}^t g = \Lambda_p\},$$

where Λ_p is the symplectic form

$$\Lambda_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -p & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under this isomorphism, $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ(2)$ is identified with the group $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ(2)$ consisting of all elements g in $\text{Sp}(\Lambda_p, \mathbb{Z})$ with $g \equiv \mathbf{1}_4 \pmod{2}$. The case $p = 3$ is of special interest. Barth and Nieto showed in [BN] that the quintic

$$N = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^5 u_i = \sum_{i=0}^5 \frac{1}{u_i} = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$$

parametrizes birationally the space of Kummer surfaces associated to abelian surfaces with $(1, 3)$ -polarization and a level 2 structure. Moreover, N has a smooth model which is Calabi-Yau. From this, Barth and Nieto deduced that the space $\mathcal{A}_{1,3}^\circ(2)$ also has a smooth model that is Calabi-Yau. So one may ask to determine the (up to a scalar) weight 3 cusp form with respect to the modular group $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ(2)$. This was done in [GH1]. The cusp form in question was shown to be Δ_1^3 , where Δ_1 is a cusp form of weight 1 with respect to the paramodular group $\Gamma_{1,3}^\circ$ with a character of order 6.

In [GH2] Gritsenko and Hulek showed that the Kummer surfaces which are associated to a $(1, p)$ -polarized abelian and to its dual are isomorphic. This turns our attention to the *Fricke-involution*, which extends $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ$ to $\Gamma_{1,p}^*$ and identifies a polarized abelian surface with its dual. So it is a natural question to ask if $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ(2)$ can be extended uniquely to a group $\Gamma_{1,p}^*(2)$ in such a way that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{1,p}^\circ(2) & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma_{1,p}^*(2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma_{1,p}^\circ & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma_{1,p}^* \end{array}$$

commutes. If so, is Δ_1^3 still a cusp form with respect to $\Gamma_{1,3}^*(2)$? We will give answers to this question in this note.

2 The maximal discrete extension $\Gamma_{1,p}^*$ of $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ$ in $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$

A maximal discrete extension $\Gamma_{1,p}^*$ of $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ$ in $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ is defined in [GH2]. This group still acts on $\mathbb{H}_2$ and the quotient $\Gamma_{1,p}^* \backslash \mathbb{H}_2$ has a moduli theoretic

meaning: $\Gamma_{1,p}^* \backslash \mathbb{H}_2$ is birationally the moduli space of Kummer surfaces associated to abelian surfaces with a $(1, p)$ -polarisation (for details see [GH2]). We will construct an extension of $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ(2)$ in a natural way. For this, we summarize the construction of $\Gamma_{1,p}^*$. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $xp - y = 1$ and consider the matrix

$$\widehat{V}_p = \begin{pmatrix} px & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -yp & p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p & yp \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & px \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$V_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \widehat{V}_p \in \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R}).$$

Then it is easy to see that $V_p^2 \in \Gamma_{1,p}^\circ$ and $V_p \Gamma_{1,p}^\circ V_p = \Gamma_{1,p}^\circ$. So the matrix V_p defines an involution modulo $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ$ and $\Gamma_{1,p}^* := \langle \Gamma_{1,p}^\circ, V_p \rangle$ is a normal extension of $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ$ with index 2. By [K] this is the only non-trivial discrete extension of $\Gamma_{1,p}^\circ$ in $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$.

With

$$\bar{V}_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the coset $V_p \Gamma_{1,p}^\circ$ can also be written as

$$V_p \Gamma_{1,p}^\circ = \bar{V}_p \Gamma_{1,p}^\circ.$$

To understand how $\bar{V}_p$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_{1,p}^\circ(2)$ let $E = \mathrm{diag}(1, p)$ and $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ \tau_2 & \tau_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}_2$ be a point corresponding to the $(1, p)$ -polarized abelian surface $X = \mathbb{C}^2/L$, where the lattice L is given by the normalized period matrix $\Omega = (E, \tau)$ and the hermitian form H , defining the polarization of X , is given by $(\mathrm{Im} \tau)^{-1}$ with respect to the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$. The polarization H defines an isogeny

$$\lambda_H : \begin{cases} X & \rightarrow \widehat{A} = \mathrm{Pic}^\circ A \\ x & \mapsto T_x^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle, which represents the polarization H and T_x is the translation by x . The map λ_H depends only on the polarization, not on the choice of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$. The kernel $\ker \lambda_H$ is (non-canonically) isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, so this defines a quotient map

$$\lambda_p : X \rightarrow X / \ker \lambda_H = \widehat{X},$$

where $\widehat{X}$ is the dual abelian surface of X , which corresponds to the period matrix

$$\Omega' = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & p\tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ 0 & 1 & \tau_2 & \tau_3/p \end{pmatrix}.$$

The identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & p\tau_1 & \tau_2 \\ 0 & 1 & \tau_2 & \tau_3/p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}, \bar{V}_p(\tau) \right)$$

shows that $\widehat{X}$ is $(1, p)$ -polarized and hence the action of $\bar{V}_p$ induces a morphism

$$\varphi(p) : \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_{1,p}^\circ & \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{1,p}^\circ \\ (X, H) & \mapsto (\widehat{X}, \widehat{H}) \end{cases}$$

which maps an abelian surface to its dual.

Since it is easier to work with matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}$, let us consider

$$\widetilde{W}_p = R_p \bar{V}_p R_p^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^* := \langle \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ, \widetilde{W}_p \rangle$. Recall that $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ(2)$ is the kernel of the surjection $\pi : \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq S_6$, $\pi(M) = \overline{M}$. (Here and henceforth we write $\overline{M}$ for reduction modulo 2 of an integer-valued matrix M .)

Lemma 1 *Let*

$$\iota = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The map

$$\pi^* : \begin{cases} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^* & \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ g & \mapsto \begin{cases} \pi(g) & \text{if } g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ \\ \pi(g \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota & \text{if } g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^* \setminus \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

is a homomorphism which extends the map π .

Proof. It is easy to see that the equation

$$\iota \cdot \pi^*(\widetilde{W}_p \cdot g \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota = \pi^*(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ \quad (*)$$

holds. Namely, let $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ$, $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}, \dots, D = (d_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$. By [HKW, Proposition I.1.16] we have $a_{21} \equiv b_{21} \equiv c_{21} \equiv d_{21} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \iota \cdot \pi^*(\widetilde{W}_p \cdot g \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota &= \iota \cdot \pi(\widetilde{W}_p \cdot g \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota \\ &= \iota \cdot \pi \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{p} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \frac{1}{p} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot B \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{p} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot C \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \frac{1}{p} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot D \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \iota \\ &= \iota \cdot \left(\frac{\begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & a_{21}/p \\ p a_{12} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} c_{22} & c_{21}/p \\ p c_{12} & c_{11} \end{pmatrix}} \frac{\begin{pmatrix} b_{22} & b_{21}/p \\ p b_{12} & b_{11} \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} d_{22} & d_{21}/p \\ p d_{12} & d_{11} \end{pmatrix}} \right) \cdot \iota \\ &= \left(\frac{\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix}} \frac{\begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix}} \right) \\ &= \pi^*(g). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ$ is normal in $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^*$ and $\widetilde{W}_p^2 = \mathbf{1}_4$, $(*)$ is equivalent to

$$\iota \cdot \pi^*(\widetilde{W}_p \cdot h) = \pi^*(h \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota \quad \text{for all } h \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^* \setminus \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ. \quad (**)$$

Let $g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ$, $h_1, h_2 \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^* \setminus \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad \pi^*(g \cdot h_1) &= \pi(g \cdot h_1 \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota \\ &= \pi(g) \cdot \pi(h_1 \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota \\ &= \pi^*(g) \cdot \pi^*(h_1) \\ (ii) \quad \pi^*(h_1 \cdot g) &= \pi(h_1 \cdot g \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota \\ &= \pi(h_1 \cdot \widetilde{W}_p \cdot \widetilde{W}_p \cdot g \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota \\ &= \pi(h_1 \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \pi(\widetilde{W}_p \cdot g \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota \\ &= \pi^*(h_1) \cdot \iota \cdot \pi(\widetilde{W}_p \cdot g \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \pi^*(h_1) \cdot \pi^*(g) \\ (iii) \quad \pi^*(h_1 \cdot h_2) &= \pi^*(h_1 \cdot \widetilde{W}_p \cdot \widetilde{W}_p \cdot h_2) \\ &= \pi^*(h_1 \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \pi^*(\widetilde{W}_p \cdot h_2) \\ &= \pi^*(h_1) \cdot \iota \cdot \pi^*(\widetilde{W}_p \cdot h_2) \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{=} \pi^*(h_1) \cdot \pi^*(h_2 \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \iota \\ &= \pi^*(h_1) \cdot \pi^*(h_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 1 *There is exactly one group $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^{*(2)}$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 1 & & 1 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^{*(2)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 1 & & 1 & & \end{array}$$

commutes with exact rows and columns.

Proof. Let φ be a homomorphism such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^{\circ} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^* \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2) \end{array}$$

commutes. It is enough to show that one necessarily has $\varphi(\widetilde{W}_p) = \pi^*(\widetilde{W}_p) = \iota$. First, $\varphi(\widetilde{W}_p)$ is an involution in $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ since $\widetilde{W}_p^2 = \mathbf{1}_4$. Moreover, we have

$$\varphi(g \cdot \widetilde{W}_p \cdot g^{-1}) = \pi(g) \cdot \varphi(\widetilde{W}_p) \cdot \pi(g)^{-1} \quad \forall g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^{\circ},$$

so

$$g \in \mathrm{centr}(\widetilde{W}_p, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^*) \Rightarrow \pi(g) \in \mathrm{centr}(\varphi(\widetilde{W}_p), \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2))$$

($\mathrm{centr}(x, G)$ means the centraliser of x in G). The matrices

$$h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are in $\mathrm{centr}(\widetilde{W}_p, \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^*)$. Since

$$\pi(h_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

must lie in $\mathrm{centr}(\varphi(\widetilde{W}_p), \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2))$, it follows (with $\varphi(\widetilde{W}_p) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$), that $C = 0_2$. Likewise, one argues with $\pi(h_2)$ that necessarily $B = 0_2$ holds.

Since $\varphi(\widetilde{W}_p)$ is an involution in $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, we deduce that A (and hence D) has to be an involution in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (i. e. equal to $\mathbf{1}_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$). Now it is easy to see that necessarily $A = D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ holds. To see this first assume $A = \mathbf{1}_2$ (and consequently $D = \mathbf{1}_2$). Then necessarily $\pi(\widetilde{W}_p \cdot h \cdot \widetilde{W}_p) = \pi(h)$ must hold for all $h \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^{\circ}$. But this is not the case for

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^{\circ}.$$

Similarly one argues with

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^{\circ}$$

to exclude the cases $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. This shows that the only possibility is $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^*(2) = \ker(\pi^*)$. $\square$

For future use we note that the kernel $\ker(\pi^*)$ is generated by $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^{\circ}(2)$ and $\widetilde{\kappa}_p$ with $\widetilde{\kappa}_p = \widetilde{W}_p \cdot g$ and $g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^{\circ}$, $\pi^*(g) = \iota$. Of course we have

$G = \langle \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ(2), \widetilde{W}_p \cdot g_1 \rangle = \langle \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ(2), \widetilde{W}_p \cdot g_2 \rangle$ for $g_1, g_2 \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{1,p}^\circ$ with $\pi^*(g_1) = \pi^*(g_2) = \iota$, so we can choose

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\kappa}_p &= \widetilde{W}_p \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p-1 & 2-p & 0 & 0 \\ p & 1-p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p-1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & p(2-p) & 1-p \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \widetilde{V}_p, \quad \widetilde{V}_p = \begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p & 0 & 0 \\ p(p-1) & p(2-p) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p(2-p) & 1-p \\ 0 & 0 & p(p-1) & p \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

as a generator.

3 The modular form Δ_1^3

It was shown in [GN] that

$$\Delta_1(\tau) = q^{1/6} r^{1/2} s^{1/2} \prod_{\substack{n \geq 0, m \geq 0, l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (l < 0 \text{ if } n=m=0)}} (1 - q^n r^l s^{3m})^{f(nm,l)}$$

with $q = e^{2\pi i \tau_1}$, $r = e^{2\pi i \tau_2}$, $s = e^{2\pi i \tau_3}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \geq 0, l} f(n, l) q^n r^l = \\ &r^{-1} \left(\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 + q^{n-1} r) (1 + q^n r^{-1}) (1 - q^{2n-1} r^2) (1 - q^{2n-1} r^{-2}) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

is a cusp form of weight one with respect to $\Gamma_{1,3}^\circ$ with a character χ_6 of order 6. Then Δ_1^3 is a cusp form with respect to $\Gamma_{1,3}^*$ with a character $\chi_{(2,-)}$ of order two and $\chi_{(2,-)}(\bar{V}_3) = -1$. The character $\chi_{(2,-)|\Gamma_{1,3}^\circ}$ arises in the following way:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{1,3}^\circ(2) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{1,3}^\circ & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq S_6 \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & & & \searrow \chi_{(2,-)} & \downarrow \mathrm{sgn} \\ & & & & & & \{\pm 1\} \end{array}$$

(for all this see (see [GH2])).

Proposition 2 *Let $S_3(\Gamma_{1,3}^*(2))$ be the vectorspace of cusp forms of weight 3 with respect to $\Gamma_{1,3}^*(2)$. Then*

$$S_3(\Gamma_{1,3}^*(2)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \Delta_1^3.$$

Proof. Consider $\Gamma_{1,3}^*(2) = \langle \Gamma_{1,3}^\circ(2), R_3^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{\kappa}_3 \cdot R_3 \rangle$. From the diagram above we obtain $\chi_{(2,-)|\Gamma_{1,3}^\circ(2)} \equiv 1$. We have $R_3^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{\kappa}_3 \cdot R_3 = \bar{V}_3 \cdot g$ with

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{1,3}^\circ.$$

Moreover we have $\pi(R_3 \cdot g \cdot R_3^{-1}) = \iota$ and it is easy to see that $\text{sgn}(\iota) = -1$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{(2,-)}(R_3^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\kappa}_3 \cdot R_3) &= \chi_{(2,-)}(\bar{V}_3) \cdot \chi_{(2,-)}(g) \\ &= -1 \cdot \text{sgn}(\iota) \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that Δ_1^3 is a cusp form of weight 3 with respect to $\Gamma_{1,3}^*(2)$. By [GH2] and [BN] the moduli space $\Gamma_{1,3}^*(2) \backslash \mathbb{H}_2$ is birationally equivalent to a Calabi-Yau variety. Hence the result follows from Freitag's extension theorem (cf. [F, Hilfssatz 3.2.1]) which says that for any discrete group Γ the space $S_3(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $H^{3,0}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\Gamma))$ for any smooth projective model $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\Gamma)$ of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_2$. □

References

- [BN] W. Barth, I. Nieto, *Abelian surfaces of type (1, 3) and quartic surfaces with 16 skew lines*, J. Alg. Geom **3** (1994), 173–222.
- [F] E. Freitag, *Siegelsche Modulfunktionen*, Grundlehren 254, Springer, Berlin (1983).
- [GH1] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, *The Modular Form of the Barth-Nieto Quintic*, International Mathematics Research Notices **17** (1999).
- [GH2] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, *Minimal Siegel modular threefolds* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **123** (1998) 461–485
- [GH3] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, *Commutator Coverings of Siegel threefolds* Duke Math. J. **94** (1998), 509–542
- [GN] V. Gritsenko, V. Nikulin, *Automorphic forms and Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras, II* Internat. J. Math. **9** (1998), 201–575
- [HKW] K. Hulek, C. Kahn, S. Weintraub, *Moduli spaces of abelian surfaces: Compactification, degenerations and theta functions*, de Gruyter (1993).
- [I] J.-I. Igusa, *Theta Functions*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **194** Berlin: Springer Verlag (1972).
- [K] G. Köhler, *Erweiterungsfähigkeit paramodularer Gruppen*, Nachr. d. Akad. d. Wiss. in Göttingen II **20** (1967) 228–238.
- [LB] H. Lange, C. Birkenhake, *Complex Abelian Varieties*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 302. Berlin: Springer-Verlag (1992).
- [Sh] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic function*, Princeton University Press (1971).